From: Enck.Judith@epamail.epa.gov [Enck.Judith@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: 12/12/2010 3:50:32 PM

To: Sussman.Bob@epamail.epa.gov; Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov; Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov;

Woolford.James@epamail.epa.gov; Southerland.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov; McCabe.Catherine@epamail.epa.gov;

Gilberg.Elliott@epamail.epa.gov; BDonohue [BDonohue@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV]; PKautsky

[PKautsky@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV]; Pavlou George [Pavlou.George@epa.gov]; Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov; king

david [king.david@epa.gov]; schaaf eric [schaaf.eric@epa.gov]; simon paul [simon.paul@epa.gov]; Seth Oster/DC/USEPA/US%EPA@aa.ad.epa.gov; Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US%EPA@aa.ad.epa.gov

Subject: Re: Update from GE Discussion on Dec. 12 AM

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

From: Bob Sussman

Sent: 12/12/2010 10:33 AM EST

To: Walter Mugdan; Lisa Feldt; James Woolford; Elizabeth Southerland; Catherine McCabe; Elliott Gilberg; "BDonohue"

<BDonohue@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; "PKautsky" <PKautsky@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>; Judith Enck; "Pavlou George"

<Pavlou.George@epa.gov>; Doug Garbarini; "king david" <king.david@epa.gov>; "schaaf eric" <schaaf.eric@epa.gov>; "simon

paul" <simon.paul@epa.gov>; Seth Oster; Brendan Gilfillan Subject: Re: Update from GE Discussion on Dec. 12 AM

Very consistent with my discussion with Brackett. (See my e-mail). Since I am talking to him again later, further feedback from your team on the difference in methology would be helpful.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Walter Mugdan

Sent: 12/12/2010 10:09 AM EST

To: Bob Sussman; Lisa Feldt; James Woolford; Elizabeth Southerland; Catherine McCabe; Elliott Gilberg;

BDonohue@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV; PKautsky@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV; Judith Enck; Pavlou.George@epa.gov; Doug Garbarini;

king.david@epa.gov; schaaf.eric@epa.gov; simon.paul@epa.gov

Subject: Update from GE Discussion on Dec. 12 AM

We just got off a call with GE.

We understand now why and how GE came up with such a different number for "acres capped in Non-Bedrock/Clay areas" from the one we came up.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

On the fundamental question about the values of X and Y, I had yesterday posed essentially question to GE: "Which of the following two possibilities do you dislike less -- 10/4 or 11/3?"

Ann today did not answer the question in the way I had posed it. Instead, she said she understood that the value of Y is particularly important to the State, Trustees and NGOs, and she confirmed that GE is particularly concerned about the value of X. She therefore proposed 12/3 -- that is, X = 12% of 440 acres, and Y = 3% of 440 acres.

[NOTE: Ann asserted that getting any lower than 3% for Y is extremely doubtful. Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

On other issues, we are very close on resuspension; we communicated a revised EPA position which is very close to GE's proposal. GE will get back to us later today in response.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

- Walter